



MOAPA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL SOT MEETING
1/19/2022



I. CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Terry Holzer, Kenna Higgins, Dallas Larsen, Kenzie Hughes, Mark Cottle, Brittney Lawrence, Marquessa Aikele, Lindsey Dalley, Hal Mortensen, Korey Johnson. (Lisa Wolfley and Chad Leavitt via Zoom.) Also present is Geniel Ozaki and Christie Lewis.

II. REVIEW/APPROVAL MINUTES from Nov 2021 & Dec 2021 Meetings

Kenna motioned to approve minutes and Mark Cottle 2nd; all agree.

III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD (3 min. ea.) (Hal)

State board comments went well, next meeting is Jan. 20th @ 2:00pm.

Lindsey- states he appreciated all who helped and noted the board discussion on how to put the receivership, not trustees but the superintendent and it is a win.

Terry- added she printed NRS 388G and highlighted provision r/t precincts and SOT. In the reorganization, there is a provision that the state superintendent has a duty and obligation to review the implementation and organization every 5 years.

Kenna- brought up lighting in the theater. Electrician and Larry Jones as well as another company that does light and the original light techs were all called regarding the lighting issues. None were surprised by the lighting issues. Continuing without light, something is going to have to be done. They are old and pieces are failing, there are components that are starting to fail. She is being told they will send someone out to replace the parts. Unsure of costs and may need some budget money.

IV. BUDGET

A. 2022/2023 Budget numbers

Hal- Previous model 580 kids divided by 335 students; Now with new per pupil funding of \$4,998. Weighted funded calculation. Sped is taken care of with other funds.

Discussion about the categories and areas where we get funding.

It was noted that there's been a discussion on these categories for the last 5 years. Some concern that our Free and Reduced Lunch kids will get the short end of the stick because the district isn't allocating additional rural funding. We have been asking for the last few years for a line item for rurals schools in CCSD. We were told we would get additional funding that would "hold us harmless." But after giving the "hold harmless" funds, they took it back on another line item.

Lindsey-So this is the new pp formula and they realized we were 28k shy so they added 28k to hold us harmless.

Some discussion about Carry-Over funds, how they work, how they've changed, what they can be used for, etc.

Hal- We know what our carry over is. States don't focus on this just yet, but it is still inaccurate. At the Board Meeting earlier in the school year, they took all SLAs back which is contrary to the AB469.

Under current budget, Moapa Valley Farm is still covered, including the farm manager, which was converted from a support staff to a salaried position.

Terry- the way they are doing SLAs is in complete violation of reorganization laws. Often we pay for these services from our budget money, but we don't get the service. Are they going to address that and provide and pay for services?

Hal pointed out that landscape is \$0. They promised they would put that in to let us know. Mitch went to a meeting with the other admin from other valleys and the company does about 500 schools in Arizona and CSN In Las Vegas. They are experienced and itemized and do churches & business. They detailed page by page what they will do. They came last week and analyzed all our campuses from both valleys and will let us know costs. Hoping to take this and whatever the district comes up with a better match. According to the law, schools should get the difference between what is actually paid for SLA funding and any extra. This is obviously not happening based on the current budget.

Lindsey- They want to take the bid and apply bid to landscape maintenance and that is not how the law reads. There's a financial burden to supply the landscape out here.

Terry- we have to object to this formally. When we submit this budget, I propose we object because this violates the reorganization law and they are wrong taking back the SLAs and are breaking the law. I propose we object so as to preserve our rights and cc the state for considering receivership which will result in a forensic accounting which is necessary to look at the budget because we are not in the same way we were 5 years ago.

Terry-SLAs is an area where the assembly bill recognized to give up some power. Let local schools have power. (Terry Passed out NRS 388G for review.) Specifically 388G.100 pertaining to any member who feels like they're being retaliated against bc of their role as a member of the SOT. This code describes this remedy. As a SOT you need to be familiar with it.

Terry- reviewing NRS 388G.580 reorganization... specifically talks about budget, expenditures, programs, etc. Discussed budget and timing is critical. Object to SLAs, small school allocation.

388G.610 is reviewed. Responsibilities of custodial maintenance and none is being disclosed financially. Taking back SLA's. Need to approve budget and needing to preserve our right bc in violation of reorganization.

Hal- Timeline for budget approval the district gave is longer than what we usually have, which is 7-10 days. We have until February 11th. Hal is not asking for an approval yet. The extra time provides an opportunity to go back to constituents, get ideas, and then meet February 2nd when we can make the decision to approve or reject in a formal way and decide to go that way or take this and run with it.

In the budget trash/utilities are back in. Last year the district took it out because of covid, it is now back in. Hal is being told it's an arbitrary number - we do not really have the accurate amount this would cost our school. We believe it to be considerably less.

It was asked whether the SOT can petition the district for this extra money to come back to us. Hal said Kelly Ballard was here last month, and she was clear that those dollars don't come back to our budget.

There was discussion about the district's "small school allocation formula." One time we had 7-9 teachers. We went from 7 to 4 teachers 5 years ago. We've been absorbing other positions for 5-6 years.

Hal- Discussed hold harmless and discussion ensued about school allocation formula. Hal proposes this "formula" be revamped. There is NO rationale for this. The formula that the district looks at arbitrarily attaches numbers of students to a number of extra teachers. There is no math correlation. We've been contesting, and the district has not been responding to any of our requests. Numbers need to correlate.

Lindsey- we can make a legal argument that this sort of formula is illegal. The law is not allowing any allocation anymore. Build it into a small school allocation of weight. Bump up the small school allocation weight/create one.

Some discussion about how other counties in the state get a rural weighted funding for small schools, but we do not because Clark County is dubbed an "urban" district.

Continued discussion about the budget. Hal indicated that even with all

the discrepancies in the district's funding/budget process, we still have \$500,000 more than last year. This is due to the "per pupil funding" and the district's prediction of how many students we will have next year.

Terry- How many teachers have we lost in the last 5 years?

(Hal-Dallas-Mark-Terry) Around 5. Historically, 14 positions have been lost in the last 5 years. Surplused art & choir, Auto mechanics, we had 4 PE teachers, 3 counselors and Drivers Ed. Utilities were covered due to the pandemic. Idea is not supposed to be less proportionately than in 2016.

Class size numbers were reviewed.

Kenna- CTE construction, theater tech, ag mechanics are all more geared toward boys, we need more classes geared toward girls interests. Cosmetology, Nursing assistant, Fashion design etc.

Cottle- requests 2016 numbers/plan to figure numbers with what our loss was to replace what our needs are today.

A. MVHS Impact/Solutions (Hal)

Proposal is we add a .5 time math teacher, and fund .5 fine arts teacher. (Currently, CCSD Fine Arts Department funds us .5 of a fine arts teacher. If we fund the other half, we can get an additional full-time fine arts teacher.) Additionally, there is a need for another electives teacher. Hal proposes we look at another fulltime CTE teacher; graphic design, multimedia, etc. We are scrambling for electives. Possible Cyber security brought up by Chad. Also, money put aside for prep buyout and subs will help reduce pressure on electives courses. Hal also proposed to bring in a lead custodian to manage night shift, which would cost around 50k.

B. Reply/Objection Timeframe

Continue to look at the budget and vote on it at the February meeting. Discussion/updates of various projects in process using this year's money. Discussion of Jumpstart courses/offerings.

V. General/Current Matters (HMortensen;THolzer;LDalley;KHuges;Team)

GENERAL/CURRENT MATTERS .

A. Brief review Mack Lyon MS recent SOT action
Brief review NAC 388G.100 -
Distribution NRS 388G reorganization statute

B. Board of Ed Subcommittee Meeting re AB469
-Update re: 1/12/2022 meeting

C. Status (if any) re Landscape SLA's
See above discussion of budget SLA items.

D. February state wide ACT status
March 1 taking ACT.

E. Recent CCSD pause concerns
-Graduation (no current concerns) Thursday, May 26
-Sports Any athletic events during the pause were simply canceled.
-Curriculum/Academic Impact
Kids will not miss any school - they took days away from the schedule later in the year.
New rule for staff, if you were exposed, you can come back to work as long as you are asymptomatic and you agree to test every other day.
-Other
If the pause happens again, Hal will fight to keep rurals open.

F Theater (K.Higgins)

-Play Report

“Eye to the Spring”

i.Electrical Concerns

G. Student Report/Comments(KHuges)

Students would appreciate more elective options.

VI. FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT

Communicate via email on budget items.

Everything good for seniors graduating? Everything is one track - any senior in danger of failing has been contacted. All have been set up to graduate.

VII. ADJOURN 3:27